

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/075,561	DUFNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lois Zheng	1742	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lois Zheng.

(3) _____.

(2) Melvin Williams.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 July 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant

2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8,17 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Taniguchi et al. US 6,083,638.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments with respect to claims 1,8,17 and 19 and proposed claim amendments to overcome the final rejection. The examiner will review these amendments and arguments in detail when they are officially filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required